 ISBN : 0-9742114-6-X

Macroeconomic Variables and Stock Returns in the Post 1997 Financial Crisis: An Application of the ARDL Model

Rosylin Mohd. Yusof, M. Shabri Abd. Majid, Ahmad Nazri Razali,
Department of Economics, Kulliyyah of Economics and Management Sciences,
International Islamic University Malaysia

ABSTRACT

The study seeks to explore the extent to which macroeconomic variables affect the stock market behavior in an emerging market Malaysia in the post 1997 financial crisis period. Unlike many previous studies conducted on Malaysian stock market, we employ the autoregressive distributed lag model (ARDL) to examine the long run relationship between the macroeconomic variables and the stock returns in Malaysia. The macroeconomic variables tested in the study are the money supply M3, industrial production index (IPI), real effective exchange rate (REER), and interest rate as proxied by Treasury bill rates (TBR). To examine the international influence on the Malaysian stock market, the US monetary policy variable as measured by the Federal Funds rate (FFR) is incorporated in our analysis. The estimation of results suggests that REER, money supply M3, IPI and FFR seem to be suitable targets for the government to focus on, in order to stabilize the stock market and to encourage more capital flows in to the capital market. Changes in US monetary policy as measured by the changes in the FFR seems to also have a significant direct impact on the Malaysian stock market behavior during the period of analysis. This implies that any changes in the US monetary policy may affect the Malaysian stock market.

INTRODUCTION

The claim that macroeconomic variables affect stock market behavior is a well established theory in the financial economics literature. However, it is in the past two decades that there is a growing efforts made by researchers to empirically calibrate these macroeconomic effects. More studies are focused on the developed markets such as the US, UK and the Japanese. Examples of these studies are Fama (1981) and Chen (1991) for the US market, Hamao (1988) on Japanese market, and Poon and Taylor (1992) on the UK market.

This paper extends the literature to address the question whether local and foreign macroeconomic variables affect stock returns within the context of an emerging market. Emerging markets seem to have distinguished features from those of the developed markets. Given the different political and economic structures, the risk and return profiles in these markets seem to also differ. For instance risks and returns in the emerging stock markets are found to be higher relative to the developed stock markets (Errunza, 1983; Claessens et al, 1993; Harvey, 1995). In fact, in the recent years, there seems to be more empirical evidences to suggest that emerging markets are segmented from the developed markets (Goetzmann and Jorion, 1999; Bilson et al, 2001). The studies lend support to the view that emerging markets now represent a feasible investment alternative for international investors witnessing massive capital inflows into these markets.

Because the Kuala Lumpur Composite Index (KLCI) operates in different economic, financial and political structures from the United States, the movement of stock prices may be different. It is possible that given that the KLCI is of a smaller market capitalization than the US, it is more susceptible to speculative actives and government interventions. From the investors' perception, the question whether KLCI responds differently to macroeconomic variables is of relevance.

The empirical question that we seek to examine is whether macroeconomic variables such as industrial production index (IPI), money supply M3, real effective exchange rate (REER), interest rate (TBR) and Federal fund rates (FFR) are significant explanatory factors of stock market returns. Accordingly, if these macroeconomic variables are significant and consistently priced in the stock market returns, they should therefore be cointegrated. Various methodologies are employed to test on the relationship between macroeconomic variables and the stock market returns and more recent ones employ the time series analysis within the VAR framework to test the presence of cointegration among the variables tested (Chen et al, 1986; Kwon and Shin, 1999; Maysami and Koh, 2000).

The 1997 financial crisis has directly affected the Malaysian stock market. Many studies are conducted to examine the macroeconomic causes of stock market behavior during the crisis. This also prompts researchers as well as policy makers to re-examine the macroeconomic causes of stock market behavior during the post crisis period and to re-examine the transmission mechanism of which the financial crisis may reinforce itself. In this paper we employ a new estimation technique of ARDL approach to cointegration to examine the long run stability between the macroeconomic variables and stock market returns in Malaysia for the 1997 post crisis period. The monthly data starting from May 1999- February 2006 is used in the analysis.

The outline of this paper is as follows. Section 2 presents the theoretical underpinnings. Section 3 highlights the model specification and the estimation technique. Section 4 discusses the estimation results and finally Section 5 concludes.

THEORETICAL UNDERPINNINGS

Based on the 'intuitive financial theory' (Maysami and Koh, 2000, Gjerde and Sættem, 1999), we can hypothesize that macroeconomic variables such as industrial production index (IPI), money supply M3, exchange rate and interest rate affect stock market behavior. Uncovering this long run relationship may help to gauge the predictability of the Malaysian equity market while providing valuable information to both the investors as well as the policymakers. The money supply–stock market nexus has been widely tested and debated due to the belief that money supply changes have important and direct effects on stock prices through portfolio changes and indirect effects through its impact on real consumption and investment activities.

The Stock Valuation Model is generally concerned with the factors which affect the average stock price of all firms. From this valuation model, an increase in money supply leads to an increase in the expected dividends and in turn increases the stock prices, assuming the interest rate remains unchanged. On the other hand, a decrease in interest rate, which may also be a result of an expansionary monetary policy, will increase the price of the stocks and subsequently increase their returns. According to Monetary Portfolio Model (Rozeff ,1974), an increase in the interest rates raises the opportunity cost of holding cash and is likely to lead to a substitution effect between stock and other interest bearing securities. Similarly, the FFR and stock prices will move in the opposite direction.

According to simple discounted present value model (Liljeblom and Stenius, 1997; Ibrahim M, 2002 and Ibrahim I and Jusoh, 2001), stock prices are determined by the future cash flows to the firm and discounting rates. Changes in these factors which may be due to changes in macroeconomic variables may in turn affect the stock market. In an open economy such as in Malaysia, corporate cash flows are influenced by the changes in the macroeconomic variables such as IPI, M3, interest rate as well as the exchange rate. From the theoretical perspective, the effects of currency depreciation may be positively or negatively related to stock prices. For instance, when the currency depreciates, a rise in exports may increase a firm's profitability and thereby increasing the value of its stock. Conversely, a currency appreciation reduces a firm's profitability via higher import prices and therefore reduces the value of the stock.

The relationship between stock prices and national output, as well as industrial production, has been extensively researched. For instance, Fama (1981) highlights that there exists a significant relationship between stock returns and other macroeconomic variables; namely inflation, national, output and industrial production. For Malaysia, this stock market-output nexus has also been extensively studied (Habibullah and Baharumshah, 1996; Habibullah et al, 1999). These results indicate that there exists a long run relationship between stock returns and output. Based on Geske and Roll (1983), Chen et al (1986), Wongbangpo and Sharma (2002), we hypothesize a positive relation between stock prices and industrial production index. The levels of real economic activity (proxied by IPI) will likely influence stock prices through its impact on corporate profitability in the same direction: an increase in output may increase expected future cash and, hence, raise stock prices, while the opposite effect would be valid in a recession. Finally, to capture the international influence on the stock market behavior, we extend our analysis by including the US Federal Funds Rate (FFR) as a proxy for the US macroeconomic variable.

MODEL SPECIFICATION AND ESTIMATION TECHNIQUES

Basically, the basis of our hypothesized model is the interrelationship among the four markets, i.e., the goods market, the money market, the labor market and the security market. Following the literature in the analysis of the security market, this study excludes the labor market from our analysis since Walras's law allows us to drop any one of these markets (Wongbangpo and Sharma, 2002). However, since Malaysia is an open economy, therefore to examine the international influence on the market we have included the U.S monetary policy variable as proxied by FFR. Following earlier studies (Chen et al, 1986; Mukherjee and Naka, 1995; Wongbangpo and Sharma, 2002, among others), the good market variable considered is IPI. The money market variables considered are M3, TBR and FFR. The security market is represented by KLCI. Finally, as an external competitiveness measure, the REER is included in the model. We believe that for the trade oriented developing economies the exchange rate play a significant role in the stock market movement. In short, these selected variables cover a wide range of macroeconomic aspects. Thus, we explore the long- and short-run relationship between the stock market and macroeconomic variables, by considering the following two models:

$$ln\mathrm{KLCI}_t = a + b\, ln\mathrm{M3}_t + c\, ln\mathrm{IPI}_t + d\, ln\mathrm{REER}_t + e\, ln\mathrm{FFR}_t + \varepsilon_t \text{ ....(1)}$$

$$ln\mathrm{KLCI}_t = a + b\, \mathrm{TBR}_t + c\, ln\mathrm{IPI}_t + d\, ln\mathrm{REER}_t + e\, ln\mathrm{FFR}_t + \upsilon_t \text{ ....(2)}$$

where KLCI is the Kuala Lumpur Composite Index; M3 is broad money supply, TBR is Treasury Bill rates; IPI is industrial production index, REER is real effective exchange rate and FFR is Federal Reserve rates.

Based on theory underpinnings discussed earlier, we hypothesize a positive relation between exchange rate and stock prices. Mukherjee and Naka (1995) and Wongbangpo and Sharma (2002) among others, indicate that both exchange rate levels and changes affect the performance of a stock market. For an export dominated country, Mukherjee and Naka (1995) suggest that currency depreciation will have a favorable impact on a domestic stock market. As the Malaysian Ringgit depreciates against the foreign currencies, products exported from Malaysia become cheaper in the world market. As a result, if the demand for these good is elastic, the volume of exports from the country would increase, which in turn causes higher cash flows, profits and the stock prices of the domestic companies. The opposite should hold when the currencies of the country appreciates against foreign currencies.

As for the relationship between stock prices and interest rates, we hypothesize a negative relation between them. The intuition behind this relationship is straightforward. An increase in the interest rates raise the opportunity cost of holding cash and is likely to lead to a substitution effect between stock and other interest bearing securities. We also hypothesize that the FFR and stock prices will move in the opposite direction as interest rates do.

The effect of money supply on stock prices can be positive or negative. Since the rate of inflation is positively related to money growth rate (Fama, 1981), an increase in the money supply may lead to an increase in the discount rate and lower stock prices. However, this negative effect may be countered by the economic stimulus provided by money growth, which would likely increase cash flows and stock prices (Mukherjee and Naka, 1995).

Finally, following Geske and Roll (1983), Chen et al (1986), Wongbangpo and Sharma (2002), we hypothesize a positive relation between stock prices and industrial production index. The levels of real economic activity (proxied by IPI) will likely influence stock prices through its impact on corporate profitability in the same direction: an increase in output may increase expected future cash and, hence, raise stock prices, while the opposite effect would be valid in a recession.

The autoregressive distributed lag (ARDL) approach adopted in this study was introduced by Pesaran et al (1996). The ARDL has numerous advantages. Unlike the most widely method used for testing cointegration – the residual-based Engle-Granger (1987) and maximum likelihood-based Johansen (1988; 1991) and Johansen-Juselius (1990) tests, the ARDL approach can be applied regardless of the stationary properties of the variables in the samples and allows for inferences on long-run estimates, which is not possible under the alternative cointegration procedures. In other words, this procedure can be applied irrespective of whether the series are $I(0)$, $I(1)$, or fractionally integrated (Pesaran and Pesaran, 1997 and Bahmani-Oskooee and Ng, 2002), this avoids problems resulting from non-stationary time series data (Laurenceson and Chai, 2003).

Another advantage of this approach is that the model takes sufficient numbers of lags to capture the data generating process in a general-to-specific modeling framework (Laurenceson and Chai, 2003). The ARDL method estimates $(p+1)^k$ number of regressions in order to obtain optimal lag-length for each variable, where p is the maximum lag to be used, k is the number of variables in the equation. The model can be selected using the model selected criteria like Adjusted R^2, Akaike Information Criteria (AIC) and Schwartz-Bayesian Criteria (SBC). SBC is known as the parsimonious model (selecting the smallest lag-length), whereas AIC and adjusted R^2 are known for selecting the maximum relevant lag-length. This study reports the models based on these three-criteria. Finally, the ARDL approach provides robust results for a smaller sample size of cointegration analysis. Since the sample of our study is small therefore this provides additional motivation for the study to adopt this approach.

Moreover, a dynamic error correction model (ECM) can be derived from ARDL through a simple linear transformation (Banerjee et al, 1993). The ECM integrates the short-run dynamics with the long-run equilibrium without losing long-run information. The error correction representation of the ARDL models for Equations (1) and (2) can be written as follows:

$$\Delta \ln KLCI_t = a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{k1} b_j \Delta \ln KLCI_{t-j} + \sum_{j=0}^{k2} c_j \Delta \ln M3_{t-j} + \sum_{j=0}^{k3} d_j \Delta \ln IPI_{t-j} + \sum_{j=0}^{k4} e_j \Delta \ln REER_{t-j} + \sum_{j=0}^{k5} f_j \Delta FFR_{t-j} + n_1 \ln KLCI_{t-1} + n_2 \ln M3_{t-1} + n_3 \ln IPI_{t-1} + n_4 \ln REER_{t-1} + n_5 FFR_{t-1} + \xi_t \quad (3)$$

$$\Delta \ln KLCI_t = a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{k1} b_j \Delta \ln KLCI_{t-j} + \sum_{j=0}^{k2} c_j \Delta TBR_{t-j} + \sum_{j=0}^{k3} d_j \Delta \ln IPI_{t-j} + \sum_{j=0}^{k4} e_j \Delta \ln REER_{t-j} + \sum_{j=0}^{k5} f_j \Delta FFR_{t-j} + n_1 \ln KLCI_{t-1} + n_2 TBR_{t-1} + n_3 \ln IPI_{t-1} + n_4 \ln REER_{t-1} + n_5 FFR_{t-1} + \zeta_t \quad (4)$$

The terms with the summation signs in the above equations represents the error correction dynamics while the second part (terms with n_s) correspond to the long-run relationship.

To begin with, the null hypothesis (H_0: $n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = n_4 = n_5 = 0$) which indicates the non-existence of the long run-relationship is tested against the existence of a long-run relationship. The calculated F-statistics of the null hypothesis of no cointegration is compared with the critical value tabulated by Narayan (2004). If the computed F-statistic falls above the upper bound critical value, then the null hypothesis of no cointegration is rejected. Likewise, if the test statistic falls below a lower bound, then the null hypothesis cannot be rejected. Finally, it falls inside the critical value band, the result would be inconclusive. Once cointegration is confirmed, the long-run relationship between stock market and macroeconomic variables using the selected ARDL models are estimated. The last step of ARDL is to estimate the associated ARDL error correction models. Finally, to ascertain the goodness of fit of the selected ARDL model, the diagnostic and the stability tests are conducted. The structural stability test is conducted by employing the cumulative sum of recursive residuals (CUSUM) and the cumulative sum of squares of recursive residuals (CUSUMSQ).

ESTIMATION RESULTS

Equations (1) and (2) are estimated for Malaysia using monthly data over the period 1999:05 to 2006:2. In the process of testing for cointegration, it is important that we determine the order of lags on the first differenced variables. Bahmani-Oskooee and Bohl (2000) suggest that the results of this first step are usually sensitive to the order of VAR. We therefore impose lag orders of 1-12 on the first difference of each variable and compute the F-statistics for the joint significance of lagged levels of variables. The computed F-statistics for each order of lags together with critical values proposed by Narayan (2004) are reported in Table 1. As evident in Table 1, the computed F-statistics for Model (with M3) are significant at 90% for lag orders 4, 5, 6 and 11. However, for Model 2 (with TBR), only the lag order of 9 is found to be significant. For Model 1 we employ the lag order of 6 due to its highest F-statistics value, while lag order of 9 is employed for Model 2.

Table 1: F-Statistics for Testing the Existence of a Long-Run Stock Market Equation

Order of Lag	Model 1:	Model 2:
1	2.9617	2.7064
2	2.3717	2.3239
3	2.1881	2.3938
4	3.5532***	2.9341
5	3.5160***	2.1281
6	3.5551***	2.3463
7	1.6383	1.6757
8	2.2285	3.1633
9	2.5524	3.2785***
10	0.95451	1.3458
11	3.2865***	1.7352
12	0.56551	1.7490

Note: The relevant critical value bounds are given in Appendices A1-A3 (Case II: with a restricted intercept and no trend; number of regressor = 4), (Narayan (2004). They are 3.608 – 4.860 at the 99% significance level, 2.725 – 3.718 at the 95% significance level and 2.320 – 3.232 at the 90% significance level. ** and *** denotes that F-Statistics falls above the 95% and 90% upper bound, respectively.

In the second part of the analysis, we use the determined lag orders to estimate Equations (3) and (4) according to the appropriate lag length criteria such as adjusted R-squared, Akaike's Information Criteria (AIC) and Schwartz-Bayesian Criteria (SBC). Based on the results evident in Table 2, the cointegration test indicates that a set of macroeconomic variables namely, M3, IPI, REER, and FFR (Model 1) and TBR, IPI, REER and FFR (Model 2) are cointegrated with the stock market index in Malaysia over the period of analysis. Individually, only IPI and TBR are found insignificant for Model 1 and 2, respectively. Combining both models based on different lag-length criteria, we found that only TBR is not significant in the long run. However, as highlighted by Kwon and Shin (1999), a cointegration relation between stock price index and any single macroeconomic variable is not expected as the stock returns are in fact, a linear function of some macroeconomic variables.

This finding seems to be consistent (at least for three variables) with the study of Kwon and Shin (1999) on the Korean stock market. For the period January 1980 to December 1992, Kwon and Shin (1999) find that stock market indexes are cointegrated with a set of macroeconomic variables; namely, the foreign exchange rate, the trade balance, money supply and production index. Meanwhile, somewhat similar findings are reported for the Singapore stock market. Mookerjee and Yu (1997) find that stock prices are cointegrated with money supply (both M1 and M2) and foreign exchange reserves. An important implication derived here is that these markets (Malaysian, Korean and Singapore) are sensitive to a different set of macroeconomic variables compared to more mature markets such as the US and Japan. The U.S and Japanese stock markets are found to be more sensitive to inflationary variables such as a change in unexpected inflation, expected inflation, risk premium and term structure (Burmeister and Wall, 1986; Chen et al, 1986; Hamao, 1988; Chen, 1991). This further implies that the investment perception in the Malaysian market is different from that of more mature markets and that different strategies may be required in managing the portfolio of Malaysian stocks.

Table 2: Long-Run Coefficient Estimates of Stock Market

Regressors	Model Selection Criterion					
	Model 1:			Model 2:		
	Adjusted R^2 (0,2,5,0,4)	AIC (0,2,5,0,4)	SBC (0,0,0,0,0)	Adjusted R^2 (4,5,6,8,8)	AIC (4,6,6,8,8)	SBC (0,0,0,0,0)
lnM3	1.5540** (2.1597)	1.5540** (2.1597)	.49436 (1.2812)	-	-	-
TBR	-	-	-	.014946 (.19485)	-.011684 (-.15181)	-.094150 (-.85433)
lnIPI	-1.3189 (-1.6690)	-1.3189 (-1.6690)	-.62547 (-1.2095)	.88523** (2.3314)	.86763** (2.4262)	.16497 (.48477)
lnREER	-1.3766*** (-1.7150)	-1.3766*** (-1.7150)	-1.4087** (-1.9515)	-.51960 (-.61486)	-.53179 (-.66835)	-.60610 (-.62419)
FFR	.053641* (3.0374)	.053641* (3.0374)	.012447 (1.0203)	.056971* (2.7901)	.057450* (2.9890)	.0064501 (.44448)
Constant	-1.5909 (-.22832)	-1.5909 (-.22832)	9.5293*** (1.8361)	4.6729 (.84560)	4.8769 (.93631)	8.9015 (1.5933)

Note: Figures inside the parenthesis are the value of t-ratios. *, ** and *** denotes significance levels at 1%, 5% and 10%, respectively.

As hypothesized, money supply M3 is positively related to the changes in stock prices. An increase in the growth of money supply increases a firm's cash flow thereby increases the stock price (Mukherjee and Naka, 1995).Among the many macroeconomic variables, the money-stock market nexus has been widely researched, not only because money supply changes have direct effects through portfolio changes, but also through their indirect effects on real economic activity (Habibullah et al, 1999). Most of these studies provide evidence that money supply and the stock market are indeed related. Examples of these studies include Lin (1993), Habibullah and Baharumshah (1996).

The negative coefficient of exchange rate lends support to the view that when a currency depreciates, our imports are cheaper and this in turn causes an increase in the firm's profitability and therefore the value of the stock. Existing studies on the effects of exchange rate and stock prices seem to indicate mixed results. For Malaysia, Ibrahim and Wan Yusof (2001) reports a negative net effect of the exchange rate on the stock prices. Similar results are also documented for Korean stock prices (Kwon and Shin, 1999), while Mookerjee and Yu (1997) report consistent finding with our study where the net effect of the exchange rate on stock prices is positive (for both the expected and the unanticipated exchange rates).

For FFR as in Models 1 and 2 (Table 2), it has a positive relation to stock market indexes. This finding echoes the study on Singapore stock market (Maysami and Koh, 2000) and other markets like Japan and US (Bulmash and Trivoli, 1991 and Mukherjee and Naka, 1995).The Singapore stock market is found to have a positive relationship with short term interest rates and is negatively related to long term interest rates. As explained by Mukherjee and Naka (1995), long term interest rates seem to a better proxy for the nominal risk free component of the discount rate in Stock Valuation Models. Conversely Bulmash and Trivoli (1991) suggest that the long term interest rate is a proxy for expected inflation that is incorporated in the discount rate. For Hong Kong, however, Mok (1993) finds that interest rates and stock returns are independent, while Habibullah et al (1999) report significant relationship between interest rates and stock returns for Malaysian stock market for the period before 1997 financial crisis.

Based on Model 2 (Table 2), the IPI is found to have positive effect on the stock market at 5% significance level. This should be expected given that stock prices should serve as barometer of future economic growth. Accordingly, changes in industrial production may affect the firm's expected future cash flows and, in turn, affect stock prices.

We further estimate the error correction representations selected by Adjusted R-squared, AIC and SBC for both of our models. The results are presented in Table 4. The long run coefficients generated in Table 3 are used to generate the error correction terms for the two models. The adjusted R-squared are 0.37 (R-Squared), 0.37 (AIC) and 0.22 (SBC) respectively for Model 1. For Model 2, the adjusted R-squared are 0.51 (R-Squared), 0.51 (AIC) and 0.16 (SBC) respectively. As indicated in Table 4, the error correction representations carry negative signs and are highly significant for both models based on all the lag length criteria. This therefore substantiates our earlier findings that M3, IPI, REER, TBR and FFR are cointegrated with stock returns as provided by the F-test. Furthermore, the speed of adjustment for all the models is rather fast, ranging from 26 to 65 percent. This indicates that last period disequilibrium is, on the average, corrected by about 26 to 65 percent in the following month

Table 3: Error Correction Representation of ARDL Model
(Dependent Variable is $\Delta lnKLCI_t$)

Regressors	Model Selection Criterion					
	Model 1:			Model 2:		
	Adjusted R^2	AIC	SBC	Adjusted R^2	AIC	SBC
$\Delta lnKLCI_{t-1}$	-	-	-	.27607** (2.1824)	.25710*** (2.0044)	-
$\Delta lnKLCI_{t-2}$	-	-	-	.079326 (.58313)	.10338 (.74601)	-
$\Delta lnKLCI_{t-3}$	-	-	-	.33304** (2.6049)	.31579** (2.4417)	-
$\Delta lnKLCI_{t-4}$	-	-	-	.21400*** (1.7965)	.26166*** (2.0215)	-
$\Delta lnM3_t$	.76096 (.91873)	.76096 (.91873)	.14123 (1.3307)	-	-	-
$\Delta lnM3_{t-1}$	1.6557** (2.0079)	1.6557** (2.0079)	-	-	-	-
$\Delta lnM3_{t-2}$	1.5671*** (1.8327)	1.5671*** (1.8327)	-	-	-	-
$\Delta lnIPI_t$	.015701 (.089730)	.015701 (.089730)	-.17869 (-1.3361)	.025906 (.13933)	.049171 (.26179)	.042611 (.47824)
$\Delta lnIPI_{t-1}$	.51853** (1.9980)	.51853** (1.9980)	-	-.48521** (-2.1134)	-.50140** (-2.1746)	-
$\Delta lnIPI_{t-2}$	.48646*** (1.9159)	.48646*** (1.9159)	-	-.51569** (-2.4051)	-.50234** (-2.3343)	-
$\Delta lnIPI_{t-3}$	.86662* (3.6189)	.86662* (3.6189)	-	.19031 (.93699)	.19808 (.97294)	-
$\Delta lnIPI_{t-4}$	.62410* (2.9305)	.62410* (2.9305)	-	.093855 (.44882)	.19553 (.83116)	-
$\Delta lnIPI_{t-5}$	.35999** (2.2096)	.35999** (2.2096)	-	.42012** (2.2846)	.53465** (2.4281)	-
$\Delta lnIPI_{t-6}$	-	-	-	-	.19535 (.94906)	-
ΔTBR_t	-	-	-	-.069854*** (-1.9222)	-.07087*** (-1.9463)	-.024319 (-.84385)
ΔTBR_{t-1}	-	-	-	.0028913 .054421	.0065848 (.12342)	-
ΔTBR_{t-2}	-	-	-	-.070390 (-1.3225)	-.054239 (-.96927)	-
ΔTBR_{t-3}	-	-	-	-.0068984 (-.13598)	-.010536 (-.20678)	-
ΔTBR_{t-4}	-	-	-	-.024255 (-.50585)	-.0076849 (-.15039)	-
ΔTBR_{t-5}	-	-	-	.061796 (1.4627)	.061330 (1.4493)	-
ΔTBR_{t-6}	-	-	-	.069839*** (1.9353)	.073530*** (2.0227)	-

Table 4: Continued

Regressors	Model Selection Criterion					
	Model 1:			Model 2:		
	Adjusted R^2	AIC	SBC	Adjusted R^2	AIC	SBC
$\Delta lnREER_t$	-.38181*** (-1.6724)	-.38181*** (-1.6724)	-.40247*** (-1.8156)	.37831 (.57089)	.30956 (.46367)	-.15656 (-.59278)
$\Delta lnREER_{t-1}$	-	-	-	-.76757 (-.87500)	-.69905 (-.79298)	-
$\Delta lnREER_{t-2}$	-	-	-	-.20849 (-.23947)	-.37448 (-.42106)	-
$\Delta lnREER_{t-3}$	-	-	-	.31249 (.34729)	.47054 (.51344)	-
$\Delta lnREER_{t-4}$	-	-	-	-.74547 (-.92324)	-.85333 (-1.0449)	-
$\Delta lnREER_{t-5}$	-	-	-	-1.8003** (-2.1617)	-1.7741** (-2.1258)	-
$\Delta lnREER_{t-6}$	-	-	-	-.55710 (-.75258)	-.68818 (-.91252)	-
$\Delta lnREER_{t-7}$	-	-	-	-1.2779*** (-1.8401)	-1.2619*** (-1.8137)	-
$\Delta lnREER_{t-8}$	-	-	-	.86253 (1.2448)	.87946 (1.2669)	-
ΔFFR_t	.12540* (3.0108)	.12540* (3.0108)	.14576* (3.8742)	.16308* (3.1198)	.16323* (3.1179)	.12324* (3.1114)
ΔFFR_{t-1}	.029216 (.63307)	.029216 (.63307)	-	.081134 (1.4112)	.089375 (1.5348)	-
ΔFFR_{t-2}	-.016602 (-.31898)	-.016602 (-.31898)	-	.091407 (1.4403)	.081974 (1.2742)	-
ΔFFR_{t-3}	-.051666 (-1.0221)	-.051666 (-1.0221)	-	-.048498 (-.82208)	-.034448 (-.56554)	-
ΔFFR_{t-4}	-.12114** (-2.574)	-.12114** (-2.574)	-	-.047739 (-.93182)	-.065115 (-1.1952)	-
ΔFFR_{t-5}	-	-	-	-.0094567 (-.18393)	-.0090844 (-.17641)	-
ΔFFR_{t-6}	-	-	-	-.024644 (-.49313)	-.026178 (-.52273)	-
ΔFFR_{t-7}	-	-	-	-.10524** (-2.3010)	-.11983** (-2.4800)	-
ΔFFR_{t-8}	-	-	-	-.11986** (-2.4486)	-.12237** (-2.4924)	-
Constant	-.44124 (-.23408)	-.44124 (-.23408)	2.7225*** (1.6578)	2.8205 (.85207)	3.1334 (.94049)	2.2992 (1.3623)
EC_{t-1}	-.27736* (-3.4363)	-.27736* (-3.4363)	-.28569* (-3.8066)	-.60359* (-4.8809)	-.64251* (-4.9247)	-.25830* (-3.3056)
Adjusted R^2	.36713	.36713	.21711	.51143	.50991	.15819
F-Statistics	3.9067	3.9067	5.3597	3.2047	3.1328	3.9060
DW-Statistics	1.9193	1.9193	1.8133	2.0426	1.9675	1.8257

Note: Figures inside the parenthesis are the value of t-ratios. *, ** and *** denotes significance levels at 1%, 5% and 10%, respectively.

Finally, we examine the stability of the long run coefficients together with the short run dynamics based on Pesaran and Pesaran (1997) and therefore we apply CUSUM and CUSUMSQ (proposed by Brown et al, 1975). The tests are applied to all the six models in Tables 2 and 3. The CUSUM test basically uses the cumulative sum of recursive residuals based the first set of n observations and is updated recursively and the plotted against the break points. If the plot of CUSUM remains within the critical bounds at 5% significance level (represented by clear and straight lines drawn at 5%, the null hypothesis that all the coefficients and the error correction model are stable cannot be rejected. However, if the two lines are crossed, the null hypothesis of coefficient constancy can be rejected at 5%. The same analysis applies for CUSUMSQ test which is based on the squared recursive residuals.

 ISBN : 0-9742114-6-X

Figures 1 and 2 show the graphical representations of CUSUM and CUSUMSQ plot applied error correction model based on Adjusted R-squared criterion. Neither the CUSUM nor CUSUMSQ indicate evidence of any structural instability for the models that we have tested. The Durbin-Watson (D-W) statistics also indicate that there is no problem of autocorrelation for all models tested.

Figure 1: Plots of CUSUM and CUSUMSQ Statistics for Coefficient Stability (Model 1)



The straight lines represent critical bounds at 5% significance level



The straight lines represent critical bounds at 5% significance level

Figure 2: Plots of CUSUM and CUSUMSQ Statistics for Coefficient Stability (Model 2)



The straight lines represent critical bounds at 5% significance level



The straight lines represent critical bounds at 5% significance level

CONCLUSION

The study analyses both the short- and long-run dynamics between the macroeconomic variables and stock market behavior for Malaysia during the post 1997 financial crisis. Based on the analysis, the inclusion of money supply M3, IPI, TBR, REER and FFR enhance the predictability measure of the Malaysian stock market.

Money supply M3, IPI, and REER seem to be suitable targets for the government to focus on, in order to stabilize the stock market and to encourage more capital flows in to the capital market. Changes in US monetary policy as measured by the changes in the FFR seems to also have a significant direct impact on the Malaysian stock market behavior during the period of analysis. This implies that any changes in the US monetary policy may affect the Malaysian stock market. As a small open economy, Malaysia remains susceptible to external influence like the changes in the US economy. This may be perceived as a channel of which the stock market shocks of more developed markets being transmitted to an emerging market like Malaysia.

The results of this study are limited to the post 1997 financial crisis period until the beginning of the year 2006 for a small open economy, Malaysia. Further analysis may be enhanced by incorporating longer sample period and other macroeconomic variables that may potentially affect stock market.

REFERENCES

Bahmani-Oskooee, M. and Bohl, M. T. (2000). German Monetary Unification and the Stability of the German M3 Money Demand Function. Economics Letters. **66**: 203-208.

Bahmani-Oskooee, M. and Ng, R. C. W. (2002). Long-Run Demand for Money in Hong Kong: An Application of the ARDL Model. International Journal of Business and Economics. **1** (2): 147-155.

Bilson, C. M., Brailsford, T. J. and Hooper, V. J. 2001. Selecting macroeconomic variables as explanatory factors of emerging stock market returns. Pacific-Basin Finance Journal. **9**: 401-426.

Brown, R. L., Durbin, J. and Evans, J. M. 1975. Techniques for Testing the Constancy of Regression Relations over Time. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. **37** (B): 149-192.

Bulmash, S. and Trivoli, G. 1991. Time-lagged interactions between stock prices and selected variables. The Journal of Portfolio Management (Summer): 61-67.

Burmeister, E. and Wall, K. D. 1986 The Arbitrage Pricing Theory and Macroeconomic Factor Measures. Finance Review. **21**: 1-20.

Chen, N. F. 1991. Financial Investment Opportunities and the Macroeconomy. Journal of Finance. **46**: 529-554.

Chen, N. F., Roll, R. and Ross, S. 1986. Economics forces and the stock market. Journal of Business. **59** (3): 383-403.

Claessens, S., Dasgupta, S. and Glen, J. 1993. Return behaviour in emerging stock markets. World Bank Economic Review. **9**: 131-152.

Engle, R. F. and Granger, C. W. J. 1987. Cointegration and Error Correction: Representation, Estimation and Testing. Econometrica. **55** (2): 251-276.

Errunza, V. 1983. Emerging markets: a new opportunity for improving global portfolio performance. Financial Analysis Journal. **39**:51-58.

Fama, E. F. 1981. Stock Returns, Real Activity, Inflation, and Money. The American Economic Review. **71** (4): 545-565.

Geske, R. and Roll, R. 1983. The fiscal and monetary linkage between stock returns and inflation. Journal of Finance. **38**: 7-33.

Gjerde, Ø. and Sættem, F. 1999. Causal relations among stock returns and macroeconomic variables in a small, open economy. Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions & Money. **9**: 61-74.

Goetzmann, W. N. and Jorion, P. 1999. Re-emerging markets. Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis. **34**: 1-32.

Habibullah, M. and Baharumshah, A. Z. 1996. Money, Output and Stock Prices in Malaysia: An Application of the Cointegration Tests. International Economic Journal. **10** (2): 121-130.

Habibullah M., Baharumshah A Z., Mohamed, A and Wan Ngah W.A. S. 1999. Stock Market and Economic Activity: A Causal Analysis: Department of Economics. Universiti Putra Malaysia. Paper presented at the Annual FEP Seminar on The Malaysian Economy and Management: Into the Next Millennium.

Harvey, C. R. 1995. Predictable risk and return in emerging markets. Review of Financial Studies. **8**: 773-816.

Hamao, Y. 1988. An Empirical Examination of the Arbitrage Pricing Theory: Using Japanese Data. Japan World Economy. **1**: 45-61.

Ibrahim, M. 2002. Volatility Interactions Between Stock Returns and Macroeconomic Variables Malaysian Evidence. Savings and Development. **26** (2): 483-194.

Ibrahim, M. and Wan Yusof W. S. 2001. Macroeconomics Variables, Exchange Rate and Stock Price: A Malaysian Perspective. IIUM Journal of Economics and Management. **9** (2): 141-163.

Ibrahim, I. and Jusoh, M. A. 2001. The Causes of Stock Market Volatility in Malaysia. Proceedings of the Malaysian Finance Association Third Annual Symposium.

Johansen, S. 1991. Estimation and Hypothesis Testing of Cointegrating Vectors in Gaussian Vector Autoregressive Models. Econometrica. **55**: 251-276

Johansen, S. 1988. Statistical Analysis of Cointegration Vectors. Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control. **12**: 231-54.

Johansen, S and Juselius, K. 1990. Maximum Likelihood Estimation and Inference on Cointegration – with Application to the Demand for Money. Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics. **52** (2): 169-210.

Kwon, C. S. and Shin, T. S. 1999. Cointegration and Causality Between Macroeconomic Variables and Stock Market Returns. Global Finance Journal. **10** (1): 71-81.

Laurenceson, J and Chai, J. C. H. 2003. Financial Reform and Economic Development in China. Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar.

Liljeblom, E. and Stenius, M. 1997. Macroeconomic Volatility and Stock Market Volatility: Empirical Evidence on Finnish Data. Applied Financial Economics. **7**: 419-426.

Lin, S. M. 1993. Stock Returns and Money Supply: A Comparison Among Three Asian Newly Industrialized Countries, In Habibullah, Baharumshah, Mohamed and Wan Ngah. Stock market and Economic Activity: A Causal Analysis. Annual FEP Seminar on The Malaysian Economy and Management Into the Next Millennium Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Maysami, R. C. and Koh, T. S. 2000. A vector error correction model of the Singapore stock market. International Review of Economics and Finance. **9**: 79-96.

Mookerjee, R. and Yu, Q. 1997. Macroeconomic Variables and Stock Prices in a Small Open Economy: The Case of Singapore. Pacific – Basin Finance Journal. **5** (3): 377-388.

Mok, H. M. K. 1993. Causality of Interest Rate, Exchange Rate and Stock Prices at Stock Market Open and Close in Hong Kong. Asia Pacific Journal of Management. **10**: 123-143.
Mukherjee, T. K. and Naka, A. 1995. Dynamic relations between macroeconomic variables and the Japanese stock market: an application of a vector error-correction model. The Journal of Financial Research. **18** (2): 223-237.
Narayan, P. K. 2004. Reformulating Critical Values for the Bounds F-statistics Approach to Cointegration: An Application to the Tourism Demand Model for Fiji. Discussion Paper No. 02/04, Department of Economics, Monash University.
Pesaran, M. H. and Pesaran, B. 1997. Working with Microfit 4.0: Interactive Econometrics Analysis. Oxford University Press.
Pesaran, M. H., Shin, Y., Smith, R. J. 1996. Testing for the Existence of a Long-Run Relationship. DAE Working Paper No. 9622, Department of Applied Economics, University of Cambridge.
Poon, S. H. and Taylor, S.J. 1992. Stock Returns and Volatility: an Empirical Study of the U.K Stock Market. Journal of Banking and Finance. **16**: 37-59.
Rozeff, M. S. 1974. Money and Stock Prices: Market Efficiency and the Lag in Effect of Monetary Policy. Journal of Financial Economics. **1**:245-302.
Wongbangpo, P. and Sharma, S. C. 2002. Stock market and macroeconomic fundamental dynamic interactions: ASEAN-5 countries. Journal of Asian Economics. **13** (1): 27-51.